





N.K

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/939,233	08/24/2001	Ray Frankulin	019411-001410US	3401
20350	7590 06/18/2003			
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			WHITE, CARMEN D	
SAN FRAN	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· •	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summer	09/939,233	FRANKULIN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
901 A.S. A. II. A. I. A.	Carmen D. White	3714
The MAILING DATE of this comn Period for Reply	nunication appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address
Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this could be seried for reply specified above is less than thin if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum Failure to reply within the set or extended period for recognitions.	sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recommunication. riy (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty im statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON reply will, by statute, cause the application to become AB, this affect the mailing date of the safet the mailing date of the safet the mailing date of the safet.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s)	3) filed on 25 March 2003	
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condit	tion for allowance except for formal matt ractice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	ters, prosecution as to the merits is 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in th	ne application.	
	s/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to rest		
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by t		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/ard		
Applicant may not request that any c	objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction fil		sapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are r		
12) The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a clair a) All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority		
	y documents have been received in App	
* See the attached detailed Office acti	s of the priority documents have been re rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ion for a list of the certified copies not re	ceived.
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign la 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim	anguage provisional application has been	n received
uttachment(s)		•
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F		nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

6) Dother:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/939,233

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Paravia* et al (6,508,710) in view of *Seheidt* et al (5,787,173).

Regarding claim 1, Paravia teaches a gambling system employing a location verifier system for verifying that a user is located within a predefined geographical area, after which the user is allowed to place a wager on a sports book, the system comprising a transmitting system having one or more transmitters; a control system for receiving a signal requesting remote access to a betting system and the transmitting of an authorization number {a password-#1142, Fig. 13} (abstract; Fig. 14 and Fig. 15). While Paravia teaches the use of various techniques for granting the user access to the sports wagering game (col. 2, lines 11-12), Paravia is silent regarding the feature of receiving and transmitting a verification number to and from the user in order to allow play. As indicated in the initial office action, this feature is known in cryptographic verification systems as a handshaking process. In an analogous system of verification of user identity, Seheidt teaches a handshaking system in which there is transmission and reception of verification information {cryptographic key data} from a remote site to a user and back from a user (abstract; Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to a person of

Application/Control Number: 09/939,233

Art Unit: 3714

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to enhance the verification/authorization system of Paravia, by sending and receiving the password verification number of Paravia in a handshaking manner, as disclosed by Seheidt, in order to make gaming more secure.

Claims 2-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Paravia* et al (6,508,710) in view of *Seheidt* et al (5,787,173), further in view of *Wicks* or *LaDue* (5,999,808).

Regarding claims 2-17, Paravia and Seheidt teach all the limitations of the claims as disclosed above. The references lack an explicit disclosure of a pager for wagering, in an analogous wagering system, Wicks or LaDue teach the use of a pager for placing wagers (Wicks- abstract; Fig. 2; LaDue- abstract; Fig. 9). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to enhance Paravia and Seheidt by utilizing a pager for the wagering device, in order to make the system easier to play from various locations and easier to transport.

Pertinent Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Martin and Alcorn et al teach location verification systems for gaming.

Examiner's Response to Applicant's Remarks

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The examiner has updated the search and cited new art in the above rejections, which the examiner considers to be more

Application/Control Number: 09/939,233

Art Unit: 3714

relevant to the instant claim limitations. Since the new art was not cited due to an amendment of the claims, the rejection has been made non-final.

USPTO Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carmen D. White whose telephone number is 703-308-5275. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on 703-308-1806. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7768 for Non-official communications and 703-305-3579 for Official communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1078.

cdw

S. THOMAS HUGHES
SUPERVISORY PATENT BXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 4